## 2019 VISALIA HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Tuesday, April 30, 2019, 1:00 p.m. - 3:00 p.m. City Hall East, 315 E. Acequia Avenue, Conference Room 1

#### **Committee Members:**

Dirk Holkeboer, Habitat for Humanity – Chair
Betsy McGovern-Garcia, Self-Help Enterprises – Vice Chair
Brian Todd, Building Industry Assoc. of Tulare/Kings Counties
Deanna Miller, Christian Church Homes
Fran Hipskind, Tulare County Association of Realtors
Ken Kugler, Housing Authority of Tulare County
Machele Smith, Kings Tulare Homeless Alliance
Mary Alice Escarsega-Fechner, Community Services & Employment Training
Marvin Hansen, Planning Commission
Sarrah Peariso, Planning Commission



Hyde House, 500 S. Court Street

#### **Support Staff:**

Brandon Smith, Senior Planner. (559) 713-4636, <a href="mailto:brandon.smith@visalia.city">brandon.smith@visalia.city</a> Paul Bernal, City Planner. (559) 713-4025, <a href="mailto:paul.scheibel@visalia.city">paul.scheibel@visalia.city</a> Paul Scheibel, Principal Planner. (559) 713-4369, <a href="mailto:paul.scheibel@visalia.city">paul.scheibel@visalia.city</a> Rhonda Haynes, Housing Specialist. (559) 713-4460, <a href="mailto:rhonda.haynes@visalia.city">rhonda.haynes@visalia.city</a>

#### **MEETING AGENDA**

- 1. Welcome and Introductions
- 2. Public Comment
- 3. Adoption of April 1, 2019 Meeting Minutes (draft minutes attached)
- 4. Discussion/Follow-Up on Identified Key Issues
  - Programs for Special Needs Populations No additional materials provided
  - Objective Standards pertaining to SB 35 Additional materials provided
  - Allowed locations for Emergency Shelters Additional materials provided. The City is already in compliance with the requirement mandated by Senate Bill 2.
  - Inclusionary Housing / AB 1505 Materials provided at April 1 meeting. The City
    is not under any obligation by outside agencies to implement inclusionary policies
    at this time.
- 5. Review Impacts to Sites Inventory / Map (additional materials attached)
- 6. Coordinate Public Outreach
- 7. Closing Comments / Next Steps
- 8. Adjourn. Next Meeting Date and Time: \_\_\_\_\_

### 2019 VISALIA HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Monday, April 1, 2019, 1:00 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
City Hall East, 315 E. Acequia Avenue, Conference Room 1

#### DRAFT MEETING MINUTES FROM APRIL 1, 2019

Meeting commenced at 1:05 pm in Conference Room 1.

Committee Members Present: Dirk Holkeboer (chair), Betsy McGovern-Garcia (vice chair), Brian Todd, Deanna Miller, Ken Kugler, Machele Smith, Marvin Hansen, Mary Alice Escarsega-Fechner, Sarrah Peariso Committee Members Absent: Fran Hipskind

Support Staff Present: Brandon Smith, Paul Bernal, Paul Scheibel, Rhonda Haynes

Guests Present: Cindy Wong

#### Welcome and Introductions

Committee Chair Dick opened the meeting. Support staff was introduced, and the Technical Advisory Committee members and guests introduced themselves

#### 2. Public Comment

Cindy Wong stated that her organization (CCLS) would like to see more programs directed at actual affordable housing production.

#### 3. Adoption of March 11, 2019 Meeting Minutes

Minutes were distributed by email prior to and by printed copy at the meeting. No comments were made. Betsy McGovern-Garcia moved and Marvin Hansen seconded to accept the minutes. Unanimously approved.

#### Discussion of Identified Key Issues

Dirk introduced the item. Minutes were distributed by email prior to and by printed copy at the meeting. Betsy provided a brief explanation of the term "by-right" development and Paul Bernal provided a brief explanation of the Conditional Use Permit (CUP) process and its benefits.

#### Senior housing – conditional use to by-right use

Betsy provided some background, including differentiation of the terms "rental" vs. "assisted living", "apartment complex" and "deed restriction". Brian and Ken supported the by-right use and Machele questioned the need for CUP. It was noted that assisted living units do not count toward RHNA while rentals count if deed restricted. The group consensus was to support preparing a program to categorize senior hosing as a by-right use.

#### Mixed Use zones – conditional use to by-right use

Betsy provided some background, including an example of a potential mixed use project from Self Help Enterprises, wherein ground floor could be live/work or commercial and upper floor could be residential. Sarrah felt it was an oversight not to include residential as a by-right use for sites identified in the RHNA site inventory. There was general consensus to support preparing a program for allowing residential as a by-right use in the Downtown Mixed Use (D-MU) zone but less consensus for allowing residential as a by-right use in the Commercial Mixed Use (C-MU) zone barring concerns of geographical location and segmentation of development wherein a CUP could address such issues. Machele suggested that a program address by-right in C-MU if funding opportunities were available.

#### Programs for Special Needs Populations

Staff framed the topic, Machele noted there are limitations on time-limited programs that provide funding, and maybe it is a better fit to be addressed in Action Plans. Cindy Wong noted that such programs are aimed in Action Plans but wondered if programs could be worked into the Housing Element. Betsy suggested a program towards securing financing for homelessness and Machele referred to the Homeless Plan as the pertinent strategic plan. Paul Scheibel noted that the state requires programs to have a quantifiable goal and does not look favorably toward generalized statements. The outcome did not result in any program direction in the Housing Element, and more research would be needed on how such programs are addressed in other city documents.

#### Emergency Housing/Shelter

Staff framed the topic. Discussion ensued on other possible locations for emergency shelters. Mary asked that they be close to services, such as C-SET and Rescue Mission. Sarrah was reluctant to allow by-right use in residential neighborhoods. Machele asked if church-hosted sites would be allowed. Machele noted no "magnet" location, but should be near transportation. Paul Bernal heard from the group that shelters should be located in other zones and close to services, but there was no consensus on other zones. Other methods could be considered outside of strictly allowing uses by zoning district, such as performance standards. Staff will follow up with other jurisdictions' responses to Senate Bill 2, which requires jurisdictions to provide one zone where shelters are permitted by-right.

#### Supportive Housing / AB 2162

Staff stated that based on state law this is a housekeeping category wherein supporting housing would be made permitted wherever residential uses are permitted. Current/planned examples of supportive housing are located at [1627 S.] Encina and [101] Strawberry. Betsy suggested a benefit for addressing this topic in the Housing Element would be to bring awareness. No specific follow-up recommendation was made and the City will comply with the law.

#### By-Right Multi-Family Housing / SB 35

Staff framed the topic and explained objective standards. Sarrah asked if the City could re-assess the requirement for wall types and heights, suggesting that a concrete masonry unit wall should be required between single and multi-family zones. Betsy stated that obvious deficiencies should be searched for. Sarrah further suggested there could be different standards for large and small-scale projects. In follow-up staff will prepare a list of existing objective standards in the multi-family residential zone for the committee to review and make suggestions for additions or edits.

#### Inclusionary Housing / AB 1505

Due to the meeting running late, this topic was not discussed and will be addressed at the next meeting.

#### 5. Review Impacts to Sites Inventory / Map

Due to the meeting running late, this topic was not discussed and will be addressed at the next meeting.

#### Coordinate Public Outreach

Due to the meeting running late, this topic was not discussed and will be addressed at the next meeting.

#### 7. Closing Comments / Next Steps

#### 8. Adjourn

Meeting adjourned at 2:55p.m. Next meeting date is April 30, 2019, at 1:00 p.m.

## City of Visalia Multi-Family Residential (R-M-2 and R-M-3) Zones Existing Objective Standards

<u>Standards</u>	<b>Code Section</b>	Requirement
Site Area (Parcel Size)	17.16.050	CUP required for dividing sites <2 acres
Site Area (Density)	17.16.060	Minimum site area per dwelling unit:
		R-M-2 zone: 3,000 sq. ft.
		R-M-3 zone: 1,200 sq. ft.
Setbacks	17.16.070 thru	Front yard: 15' for living space, 22' for garages
	090	Side yard: 5'
		Rear yard: 25' for R-M-2 zone, 15' for R-M-3 zone
Height	17.16.100	R-M-2 zone: 35' or three stories, whichever is taller
		R-M-3 zone: 35' or 4 stories, whichever is taller
		* 2nd and 3rd stories adjacent to R-1 zoning shall be designed to
		limit visibility
Parking	17.16.110,	1.5 spaces per dwelling unit; may be uncovered or covered. An
	17.34.020(A)	additional 0.25 spaces per unit may apply under certain conditions.
		1.0 spaces per dwelling unit for senior citizen housing
		developments.
		317
Fences	17.16.120;	Not to exceed 7' in height except in front yard or street side yard.
	17.36.040	A 7' open metal fence may be permitted within the front yard or
		street side yard.
		A required wall along an arterial or collector roadway shall be
		designed to include pedestrian access, including within 1/4 mile of
		transit stops.
Trash Enclosures	17.16.130	Must comply with specifications and requirements in Section
		17.32.010. May only be located within the front yard setback when
		deemed necessary due to lack of no other appropriate location.
Site Plan Review	17.16.140	Site Plan Review required for all multi-family developments.
Open Space &	17.16.150	A minimum of 5% site area shall be dedicated to open, common,
Recreational Areas		usable space and/or recreational facilities for use by tenants.
		Calculated space shall not include enclosed meeting or community
		rooms.
Parking Area Screening	17.16.160	All parking areas adjacent to public streets and R-1 sites shall be
		screened from view.
Screening Fence	17.16.170	Where a multi-family site adjoins a single-family zones site, a
		screening block wall or wood fence no less than 6' height shall be
		located along property except in a required front yard or street side
		yard.
Landscaping	17.16.180	All developments shall have landscaping, including plants and
		ground cover, to be consistent with surrounding landscaping in the
		vicinity.

Good Neighbor Policies	17.16.190	Before issuance of building permits, proponents shall enter into an operational management plan in a form approved by the City for the long term maintenance and management of the development. Includes enforcement of all provisions of the model Good Neighbor Policy as specified by Resolution of the Planning Commission.
Signage	17.48.090	Sign Ordinance contains regulations for permanent commercial signs, temporary signs on developed and developing properties, and entrance signs.

#### **Objective Standards Not Contained in Municipal Code**

Design Review (cannot utilize discretionary judgement)
Architectural Standards (facades, building materials, colors)
Building / Parking Orientation
Lot Coverage / Floor Area Radio
Mechanical Unit Screening
Guest Parking
Covered Parking

<u>Jurisdictions Adopting Other https://www.ci.benicia.ca.us/housing</u>

# Senate Bill 2: Emergency Shelters **Survey Results**

S.W.S. B. Hillidge														
F.M. Sellingling														
S. W. A. BELLO DE SEA VILLE OF	٨	z	>	٨	¥	٨	٨	٨	٨	z	<b>&gt;</b>	٨	>	>
Jan Tale														
CHARLES TO THE STATE OF THE STA				Н										
1 1 1 1 1								)	J					
Telling All States of all						Ь			S			Ь	Ь	
TO THE STORY OF TH	C					8			C		Ь	Ь		
Sid Hill HOLLING	_								_			_		
Sour INO				50000			4	J	ပ					
ST RAMA UNDUNCO								C	C					
1 1 20														18
1 6 1 2								C	ပ					
10 300 May 100									C	Ь				
TO BUILD STORM				Н	H					H	L			_
		Ь							C					
TO STATE STATE OF THE PARTY OF									Ь					
AND ST. SHILLS					8				_	Н	L			
1 1850								)	2					
10.70 11.00								Ь	С					
											-	_	_	
								d	0					
P. S. Billing Strains		U							С					
1 13 18 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		, ,												
to o till		S							J		L			
TO HILLO AND STATES		၁							၁			8		
PIO AGINO PERINS					Ь	Ь	Ь		C					
PROX APPLIANT TO SELECTION AND ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF T									_					
South Ist.				Ь					C					
ST DATE PROPRIET		Ь							C	Г				
(1.1570) 145	L		L	_			_			L	_			
1 1	Ь		Ь						S					
Tenson,	Ь	۵							C					
			H	H	H	_		-		_	_			
	ter	2,000	_	tista	es.		Michael Laughlin	2	usk	tetter		er		al
t	n Pott	Ezzati	Aillion	el Bau	spinos	Ellis	el Lau	hillips	) DeB	Sacks	Chan	n Pott	lgel	da Ne
Contact	Marvin Potter	Vista Ezzati	Amy Million	Gabriel Bautista	Kim Espinosa	Nicole Ellis	Micha	Julie Phillips	Allison DeBusk	Ryan Gackstetter	Lindy Chan	Marvin Potter	Jeff Kugel	Candida Neal
Ŭ	-		1	٦	Ť		-	-		Ŧ.		<	-	0
io	, .	a)		ţa.		Hermosa Beach		lle	Santa Barbara	IIs	Redwood City	е	е	Ja
Jurisdiction	Burbank	Glendale	Dublin	La Mirada	Merced	rmos	Colma	Porterville	nta Ba	Chino Hills	dwoo	Pasadena	Glendora	Santa Ana
Jur	Bu	ğ	DO	La	ğ	He	ပိ	Po	Sar	S	Re	Pa	96	Sai

'P' = Permitted (By-Right) use in zone

'C' - Conditional use in zone

Blank - Not allowed in zone

# Comments:

Out of 14 Cities that responded:

4 (29%) allow by-right in Industrial-type zones

5 (36%) allow by-right in Commercial-type zones 2 (14%) allow by-right in Medical Service or Business Park zones

5 (36%) allow by-right in Overlay Zones or Specific Plan Areas to target locations of emergency shelters 12 (86%) have performance or development standards specifically for emergency shelters

#### DRAFT Sites Inventory Adjusted to AB 1379 Limitations

	Inventory salia				
	Extremely Low, Very Low	Low	Moderate	Above Moderate	
RHNA Need					
RHNA Allocation for Visalia	2,616	1,931	1,802	3,672	
Projects Built & Approved in 2014-2015 (Table 1-36, Page 1-61)	63	283	34	862	
Total Adjusted Need	2,553	1,648	1,768	2,810	
Sites Inventory					
Vacant Sites (Tables 1-38 & 1-39, Pages 1-68 & 1-69)	3,276	3,293	735	6,347	
Underutilized Sites/Redevelopment					
Downtown (Table 1-40, Page 1-75)		309			
East Downtown (Table 1-41, Page 1-85)		619	14		
Second (Accessory Dwelling) Units					
Total Sites Inventory	3,276	4,221	749	6,347	
Difference between RHNA & Inventory					
Total Surplus (Need)	723	2,573	(1,019)	3,537	
AB 1379 Sites Inventory Changes					
Units on Sites >10 ac. or <0.5 ac.	-711	-446	1		
Units Identified in Previous Element(s)	169	-534	1		
Non-vacant sites removed from Downtown & East Downtown		-799			
Adjusted Surplus Accounting for AB 1379	181	794			

Sources: City of Visalia Housing Element Table 1-43 (2016); City of Visalia 2019

This table does not account for units built on vacant sites between 2015 - 2018.

<sup>\*</sup> For Low Income, 313 units fall under multiple categories but are ony counted in the inventory once